United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,559	12/08/2004	Kenneth L. Arrington	21114YP	9984	
	210 7590 10/05/2007 MERCK AND CO., INC			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 2000			LOEWE, SUN JAE Y		
RAHWAY, NJ	07065-0907		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/517,559	ARRINGTON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sun Jae Y. Loewe	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peric - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal mat	-
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-15 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 16 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b)  objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/27/2005.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 

Application/Control Number: 10/517,559 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-8 and 10-16 are pending in the instant application. Claims 11-15 are allowed. Claims 1-8, 10 and 16 are rejected.

## Amendments & Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments and amendment submitted on July 26, 2007 were fully considered. The following grounds of rejection/objection are withdrawn:
  - a. The objection to the specification is <u>withdrawn</u>.
  - b. The 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection is withdrawn.

The following grounds of rejection/objection are maintained:

- c. Claims 1-8, 10 and 16 contain non-elected subject matter. This ground of objection is <u>maintained</u> (see below section 3).
- d. Applicant's arguments in response to the 35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph written description rejection of claims 1-8, 10 and 16 are not found fully persuasive. The scope of the disclosure was modified in view of Applicant's arguments.
   However, for the reasons described in section 5 (below), this ground of rejection is maintained.
- e. Applicant's arguments in response to the 35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph enablement rejection of claims 1-8, 10 and 16 are not found persuasive. This ground of rejection is <u>maintained</u>.
- f. The provisional double patenting rejections are <u>maintained</u> (section 7).

Elected and Examined Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 10/517,559

Art Unit: 1626

3. An election of species was made on June 4, 2007 within the elected Group I, drawn to products. Applicant elected compound of example 18a-6.

The following subgenus, wherein  $R_2=R_6=\underline{phenyl}$ , that includes the elected compound was searched and examined:

The full scope of this subgenus was not in compliance with the requirements of 35 USC § 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph (written description and enablement). Pursuant to MPEP 1893.03(d), subject matter outside of this subgenus but within the scope of Group I was not rejoined. It is asserted that the subject matter not rejoined is patentably distinct and non-elected.

The objection to claims 1-4, 6 and 7 for containing non-elected subject matter is maintained because the claims are drawn to  $R_2/R_6=$ aryl.

Art Unit: 1626

## Information Disclosure Statement

4. The references of Iwasawa et al. and Ranjon et al. (IDS dated July 27, 2005) were considered. A signed copy of form 1449 is submitted herewith.

# Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112 – Written Description

5. The rejection with respect to variables R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>, R<sub>8</sub> and R<sub>9</sub> is withdrawn in view of Applicants amendment to the claims.

The rejection with respect to variables  $R_{10}$ ,  $R_c$  and  $R_c$ ' is maintained. The grounds of traversal in the response dated July 26, 2007 are addressed below.

a. Applicants stated:

The Examiner states that Applicants merely reduced to practice four examples of a R<sup>10</sup> substitution, namely a halogen, alkyl, cyano, and hydroxy group. However, Applicants respectfully maintain that the examples section of the specification illustrates at least eleven embodiments of R<sup>10</sup> substitutions. Representative examples are illustrated below

It is noted that the previous office action (page 7) stated:

#### Scope of Disclosure

Reduction to Practice: compounds representing the following substituents

R<sub>10</sub> halogen, alkyl (opt subs halogen) CN, OH

R<sub>c</sub> and R<sub>c</sub>' alkyl optionally substituted with the following

- OH, -CO<sub>2</sub>H, alkoxy
- (C=O)morpholine (optionally substituted alkyl)
- O(C=O)-piperazine or piperidine or morpholine
- phenyl, pyridine
- oxazole, pyrazole, oxadiazole, thiazole, triazole
- oxopyridine, oxotriazole
- piperidine, piperazine
- tetraliydrofuran, dioxolane, dioxane

Thus,  $R_c$  and  $R_c$ ' were noted to represent alkyl <u>optionally substituted</u> with several embodiments of  $R_{10}$ . It is shown below that the originally stated scope of the

Art Unit: 1626

disclosure (above) encompasses eight of the eleven embodiments presented by Applicants as examples used to rebut the rejection (see section b and c).

Rebuttal:

1. (C=O)aObC1-C10 alkyl:

Methyl substituted on R<sup>6</sup> and Methyl substituted on R<sup>2</sup>.

Scope Disclosure:

 $R_{10}$ 

halogen, alkyl (opt subs halogen)

Rebuttal:

2. (C=O)<sub>a</sub>O<sub>b</sub>aryl: Phenyl substituted on R<sup>C</sup>/R<sup>C</sup> alkyl optionally substituted with the following

Scope Disclosure:

· phenyl, pyridine

Rebuttal:

4. (C=O)<sub>a</sub>O<sub>b</sub> heterocyclyl: .. dioxolanyl, substituted on  $R^{C}/R^{C^{c}}$ 

Scope of Disclosure: alkyl optionally substituted with the following ...

tetrahydrofuran, dioxolane, dioxane

Rebuttal:

5. CO<sub>2</sub>H: carbonyl substituted on R<sup>C</sup>/R<sup>C</sup>

Scope of Disclosure:

alkyl optionally substituted with the following

OH, -CO₂H, alkoxy

Rebuttal:

6. Halogen: F and Cl substituted on R6

Scope of Disclosure:

 $R_{10}$ 

halogen,

Rebuttal:

7. CN: CN substituted on R6

Scope of Disclosure:

R<sub>10</sub> ... CN,

Rebuttal:

8. OH: substituted on  $R^C/R^C$  and OH substituted on  $R^2$ .

Scope of Disclosure:

 $R_{10}$  OH

alkyl optionally substituted with the following

OH, -CO<sub>2</sub>H, alkoxy

Rebuttal:

9. ObC1-C6 perfluoroalkyl: CF3 on R6

Scope of Disclosure:

 $R_{10}$ 

halogen, alkyl (opt subs halogen)

b. In view of Applicants arguments, the scope of the disclosure is broadened to encompass the following embodiments of  $R_{10}$  (for substitution on  $R_c$  and  $R_c$ ' only):

Application/Control Number: 10/517,559

Art Unit: 1626

C2-C10 alkenyl,
C2-C10 alkynyl,
alkyl optionally substituted with pyrrolidinyl
Amino groups substituted on R<sup>C</sup>/R<sup>C</sup>
Sulfonyl group susbstituted on R<sup>C</sup>/R<sup>C</sup>

- c. Conclusions from Sections b and c:
  - i. The support for variable  $R_{10}$  in the disclosure differs depending on whether it is a substituent to  $R_2/R_6$  or to  $R_c/R_c$ .
  - ii. The disclosure supports  $R_{10}$ = halogen, alkyl (opt subs halogen) CN, OH for substitution on  $R_2/R_6$ . Applicant's rebuttal provides evidence for this same conclusion.
  - iii. The disclosure supports  $R_{10}$  encompassed by the following, when  $R_{10}$  is a substituent on  $R_c/R_c$ ':

alkyl optionally substituted with the following

- OH, -CO<sub>2</sub>H, alkoxy
- (C=O)morpholine (optionally substituted alkyl)
- O(C=O)-piperazine or piperidine or morpholine
- · phenyl, pyridine
- oxazole, pyrazole, oxadiazole, thiazole, triazole
- · oxopyridine, oxotriazole
- · piperidine, piperazine
- tetrahydrofuran, dioxolane, dioxane pyrrolidinyl

and

C2-C10 alkenyl,
C2-C10 alkynyl,
Amino groups substituted on R<sup>C</sup>/R<sup>C</sup>
Sulfonyl group susbstituted on R<sup>C</sup>/R<sup>C</sup>

d. In view of Applicant's arguments the support for  $R_c$  and  $R_c$ ' is broadened to encompass all of the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/517,559 Page 7

Art Unit: 1626

Rc and Rc'

together form morpholine, azetidine, pyrrolidine hydrogen morpholine, piperazine, pyrrolidine, piperidine cycloalkyl

- phenyl, pyridine
- oxazole, pyrazole, oxadiazole, thiazole, triazole
- oxopyridine, oxotriazole
- piperidine, piperazine
- · tetrahydrofuran, dioxolane, dioxane
- Even in view of the current modification of the scope of disclosure (above sections a-d), it is <u>maintained</u> that variables  $R_{10}$ ,  $R_c$  and  $R_c$ ' are still claimed broader than what is supported by the specification. <u>For example</u>:

 $R^{10}$  = halogen, alkyl (opt subs halogen), CN, OH – ie. substituents to  $R^2$  and  $R^6$  – does not provide sufficient support for the broad range claimed for  $R^{10}$ =

1) (C=O)aObC1-C10 alkyl, wherein a and b are not zero 2) (C=O)aObaryl, for any value of a and b, etc;

Rc and Rc' together forming morpholine, azetidine, pyrrolidine does not provide sufficient support for the genus of

Rc and Rc' can be taken together with the nitrogen to which they are attached to form a monocyclic or bicyclic heterocycle with 3-7 members in each ring and optionally containing, in addition to the nitrogen, one or two additional heteroatoms selected from N, O and S, said

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 - Enablement

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to enablement for <u>making</u> the scope of compounds generically claimed is not herein addressed for the following reason. The claims were not rejected for lack of enablement for making the compounds, rather, the claims rejected for lack of

Art Unit: 1626

enablement for <u>using</u> the compounds that are unsupported by the disclosure. One argument that can be applied as rebuttal for the enablement rejection is addressed below.

Applicant argues:

The fact that experimentation may be complex does not necessarily make it undue, if the art typically engages in such experimentation (MPEP 2164.01). "An extended period of experimentation may not be undue if the skilled artisan is given sufficient direction or guidance." In re Colianni, 195 USPQ 150, 153 (1977). "[A] considerable amount of experimentation is permissible...if the specification in question provides a reasonable amount to guidance with respect to the direction in which the experimentation should proceed." In re

This argument is not found persuasive for the following reason. In view of the level of unpredictability in the art of inhibiting KSP, one of ordinary skill would not be enabled to determine which (if any) of the structural parameters not tested/exemplified, would lead to compounds that are active. The specification does not provide any support for why the numerous variables claimed (that are not represented), are structurally tolerated for activity. Specifically, the only structural motif that is preserved in all compounds generically claimed is a 2,5-dihydropyrrole core. In view of the large variability in structure (for example, R2/R6 can be substituted with heterocycle which can be further substituted with heterocycle), which lead to compounds that are significantly different from those reduced to practice, for enablement of using the full scope of the compounds claimed, it may be assumed that the presence 2,5-dihydropyrrole core is the only structural feature required for KSP inhibiting activity. If this is the case, Applicant is invited to clarify this on the record. Absent clarification or further guidance, it is maintained that the specification does not support enablement for using the full scope of compounds generically claimed.

The 353 USC 112 1st paragraph enablement rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,559 Page 9

Art Unit: 1626

# Double Patenting

7. MPEP 804.I.B. states:

The "provisional" double patenting rejection should continue to be made by the examiner in each application as long as there are conflicting claims in more than one application unless that "provisional" double patenting rejection is the only rejection remaining in at least one of the applications.

In view of the grounds of rejections maintained as detailed in sections 3-6 (above), this ground of rejection is also <u>maintained</u>.

#### Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sun Jae Y. Loewe whose telephone number is (571) 272-9074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 Est.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,559

Art Unit: 1626

Page 10

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sun Jae Y. Loewe Art Unit 1626

REBECCÁ ANDERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER